A Short Review on Biobutanol, a Second Generation Biofuel Production from Lignocellulosic Biomass

Manoj Kumar Mahapatra and Arvind Kumar

Abstract—Acute energy crisis and the fast depletion of fossil fuel reserves globally has aroused the research interest of scientists all over the world to find out sustainable technologies for biofuel production. Although in the modern era several biofuels such as bioethanol, biodiesel, etc. exists, however, the research focus is shifting towards biobutanol because of its astonishing potential for replacing gasoline as a solo fuel in gasoline internal combustion engines without revamping them, and hence it has got the synonym as biogasoline. Moreover, biobutanol is superior to bioethanol in terms of energy content, moisture affinity and blending capacities. Biobutanol is produced from lignocellulosic biomasses which are not only renewable but also abundantly found either at a cheaper price or even free. Most importantly these biomasses do not compete with food crop biomasses. Biobutanol production is essentially based on anaerobic (ABE) fermentation of lignocellulosic biomasses by solventogenic Clostridium acetobutylicum bacteria. This article will represent a brief review on biobutanol production from lignocellulosic biomasses bv ABE (acetone-butanol-ethanol) fermentation process.

Index Terms—Biobutanol, biogasoline, ABE fermentation, clostridium acetobutylicum, lignocellulosic biomass.

I. INTRODUCTION

Brisk technological advancement globally has raised the demand of conventional fuels like never before. Although they have the pros of being major energy suppliers, globally about 85% of total energy demand is quenched by conventional fuels but are not totally free from certain cons namely (1) quantitative limitation of their sources and hence they are going to be exhausted in coming years, (2) devastating environmental impacts due to extensive usage. These two limitations have provoked scientists to look for sustainable technologies to replace conventional fuels with renewable and eco-friendly energy sources, in the course of which biomass-derived energy appeared as the most attractive options available [1]. Schematic representation of biofuel production from biomass is given in Fig. 1.

Now-a-days there are several buzzwords floating in the biofuel research field such as biodiesel, bioethanol and biobutanol. However, the later one is gaining much attention in the course of time because its' superior potential of catering the needs as an excellent sustainable biofuel as well as an important industrial solvent cum precursor. Some of the industrial applications of butanol are described below.

	(Pretreatm	ent) (Fermentati	on)
Biomass	,→	Released sugars	Alcohols and acids
		(Separation and p	urification)
			Biofuels
Fig.	1. Flow di	agram of biofuel production f	from biomass [2].

Butanol is used as a:

- Solvent for rubber production, quick-drying lacquer to exhibit an excellent surface finish and in dye industry such as printing ink industry.
- Extractant in pharmaceuticals for drugs, natural substrates like antibiotics, hormones and vitamins etc.
- Supplement in polishes and cleaners used for domestic as well as industrial cleansing.
- De-icing fluid in textile industry for solubilization and also for gasoline in cold countries.
- Eluent in thin layer and paper chromatography.
- Precursor for the production of acrylic esters, glycol ethers, butyl acetate, butyl amines.

Apart from the above striking industrial applications butanol has been proved to be an excellent biofuel, since it can be directly used in gasoline driven engines without modifying them, moreover it has superiority in terms of energy density and heat of vaporization over ethanol in order to qualify as an excellent biofuel [3]. Table I describes different fuel properties of gasoline, butanol, ethanol and methanol.

TABLE I: COMPARISON OF FUEL CHARACTERISTICS OF GASOLINE AND

BIOALCOHOLS [4]					
Fuel	ED,	A/F	HV,	ROT	MOT
	(MJ/L)	ratio	(MJ/Kg)		
Gasoline	32.0	14.6	0.36	91-99	81-89
Butanol	29.2	11.2	0.43	96	78
Ethanol	19.6	9.0	0.92	130	96
Methanol	16.0	6.5	1.20	136	104

Biobutanol production is essentially based on fermentation process by solventogenic *Clostridium sp.* bacteria (Weizmann organism) since they possesses the ability to utilize simple and complex sugars, like pentose, hexose, etc. Moreover to make the production process economical extracted sugars from pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass are used as substrates for fermentation. The solventogenic fermentation process is often limited by certain factors namely substrate inhibition, butanol toxicity in the medium, slow growth, and hence, lower cell density. Apart from these limitations, biobutanol yield is also gets reduced because of production of other end products such as acetone and ethanol. To tackle these problems researchers have developed microbial strains capable with improved biobutanol yield and tolerance by genetic engineering.

Manuscript received October 9, 2015; revised December 29, 2015.

Manoj Kumar Mahapatra and Arvind Kumar are with the Department of Chemical Engineering, National Institute of Technology, Rourkela, 769008, India (e-mail: 512ch1013@nitrkl.ac.in, arvindkumar@nitrkl.ac.in).

Feedstock or raw materials are one of the most vital components for fermentation process. During Weizmann process (for ABE fermentation) era, corn was used as primary substrate. On the contrary, molasses was used as the substrate for industrial scale ABE fermentation, where С. acetobutylicum was used as the agent for fermentation process in both the cases. Literature shows that several starchy substrates such as sweet potato, cassava, wheat starch, potato waste and low grade potatoes, food industry wastes such as cheese whey, apple pomace, palm oil mill effluent, soy molasses, etc. have been used as raw materials for ABE fermentation [5]. However, raw material cost has a direct impact on the economy of the butanol production process. Hence, the option for raw material choice was shifted to lignocellulosic biomasses such as agricultural residues like rice straw, wheat straw, corn stover, waste wood, etc. Depending upon the usage of raw materials, biobutanol is also classified in to different generations. The title of this paper says second generation biofuel, which signifies non-food biomasses such as lignocellulosic biomasses are used for fermentation process.

II. A COMPENDIUM OF BIOBUTANOL PRODUCTION FROM BIOMASS

Synergistic action of solventogenic *Clostridium sp.* and biocatalysts (enzymes) upon biomass during the course of ABE fermentation process leads to production of some of the most notable solvents and biofuels namely acetone, butanol and ethanol. The entire course of biofuel production has been represented in a schematic flow diagram in Fig. 1. As we have already mentioned that biofuel research has gained pace in the search of an economical, renewable fuel source which will answer to gradual depletion of fossil fuels and their adverse environmental impacts [2]. However, biofuels also come up with benefits and limitations in terms of their environmental and economic impacts. These facts are summarized in Table II.

 TABLE II: ENVIRONMENTAL AND ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE OF BIOFUELS [1]

 Environmental impacts
 Economic impacts

Pros	Pros
Lesser need of conventional fossil	Relatively inexpensive leading to
fuels	price stability
Reduction in GHG, toxic chemicals	Employment opportunities in
and smog	rural areas
Lesser need for landfill sites	Usage of underutilized and cheap
	biomass
Cons	Cons
Reduced biodiversity due to	Cost towards technology
cultivation of favoured crop	invention and maintenance
Chances of ecosystem disbalance	Additional cost in storage and
due to GEMs and desired crops	pretreatment of biomass
Possibility of air and soil pollution	
due to use of fertilizers and	
pesticides	

In the course of biofuel especially biobutanol production, the most striking and important step is separation process. This step is crucial because not only it saves resources and time by recovering products in time but also increases yield by reducing the chances of solvent toxicity towards microbes. Now-a-days several separation techniques such as adsorption, gas stripping, liquid-liquid extraction (LLE), perstraction, pervaporation, and reverse osmosis (RO) are in use especially for butanol recovery from fermentation broth. Table III embodies a collection of biobutanol production from different substrates [6].

TABLE III: BIOBUTANOL PRODUCTION BY ABE FERMENTATION [7]				
Bacteria	Strain no.	Substrate	Time	BuOH
			span(h)	yield
				(g/L)
C. beijerinckii	BA101	Soy	96	8
		molasses		
C. acetobutylicum	IFP904	Jerusalem	33	14.8
		artichoke		
C. acetobutylicum	P262	Sago	75	16
		starch		
C. acetobutylicum	ATCC 824	domestic	120	3
		organic		
		waste		
C. beijerinckii	P260	Wheat	42	12
		straw		
C. beijerinckii	P260	Barley	68	18
		straw		
C. acetobutylicum	ATCC	Glycerol	20	8.6
	4259	and		
		glucose		
С.	N1-4	algal	96	2.3
saccharoperbutylac		biomass		
etonicum				

III. BIOMASS FOR BIOBUTANOL PRODUCTION

Presently the biomass derived energy supply (approximately 50 EJ) contributes to only 10% of global annual energy needs and the values are rising each day. It is estimated that by 2050 biomass derived energy supply will contribute to about 1500 EJ of energy supply. Biofuels including biobutanol are categorized in to different generations depending upon the biomass used. First, second and third generation biobutanol are produced from food crops, non-food crops and microalgae etc respectively [1], [3]. Principal characteristic features of globally available different biomasses for biobutanol production are mentioned in Table IV.

First generation biomasses are edible feedstocks like sugarcane, wheat and corn which inherently have high sugar/starch content. Although the surplus part of these biomasses is utilized for biofuel production but with an increase in biofuel demand, threat towards food scarcity will arise. Biodiesel and bioethanol are some of the outcomes of these biomasses. Second-generation feedstocks comprises of lignocellulosic biomass and crop waste residues from agricultural and forestry activities, which usually have associated disposal problem [7].

Usage of these biomasses came in to action because of the exponential increase in renewable energy demand. Biobutanol is one of the primary outputs of these biomasses. Photosynthetic bacteria and algae are considered as third-generation biomasses. These are used because of their high oil/lipid, carbohydrate, or protein contents. They have

the advantage over other two categories of biomasses since these do not need any cultivable land and assorted farming inputs, more over they have high lipid content approximately 20-40% of their dry weight. Currently technological immaturity is the primary constraint in biofuel production from this group of biomass, but it is expected that it will be a main stream process in upcoming years [1].

TABLE IV: PRINCIPAL CHARACTERISTICS OF BIOMASSES AVAILABLE [1]				
Biomass	Advantage	Status of	Shortcomings	
		assorted		
		technologies		
First generation	High energy	Well versed and	Cultivable	
(food crops)	content	tested over time	lands are	
			needed at large	
Second	No competition	Young and	Tedious and	
generation	with food crops	amendments are	costly	
(non-food		on		
crops)				
Third	No need for	Immature	Pretty low	
generation	farming and		yield	
(microalgae)	cultivable land			

IV. MICROBES FOR BIOBUTANOL PRODUCTION

Solventogenic bacteria Clostridium sp. is the causative behind biobutanol production organism via ABE fermentation process. Genus Clostridia embodies a wide variety of biobutanol producing bacteria out of them, C. acetobutylicum, С. beijerinckii, С. saccaroperbutylacetonicum, and C. saccharoacetobutylicum have exhibited capability for butanol synthesis accompanied by higher yields. The Weizmann organism C. acetobutylicum, which has typical bacterial characteristics such as being bacillus, sporulating, gram-positive and strictly anaerobe, was the only bacterium employed in industrial fermentation for production of acetone and butanol during last century.

TABLE V: MUTAGENESIS PRACTICE ON MICROBES YIELDING BUTANOL [3],

	[9]		
Naive strain	Mutagen	Mutant	Outcomes
-		strain	
С.	Butanol	SA-1	121% higher
acetobutylicum			butanol tolerance
ATCC 824			
С.	UV exposure,	MEMS-	Yield is enhanced
acetobutylicum	methylnitronitrosog	7	by 20%
PTCC-23	uanidine		
	and ethyl methane		
	sulphonate		
С.	Methylnitronitrosog	BA101,	Amylolytic
acetobutylicum	uanidine and	BA-105	activity increased
ATCC 824	2-deoxyglucose		by 82% and 25%
E. coli JCL16	4-aza-D,L-leucine	AL-1,	Yield enhanced by
		AL-2	33%

However, with the advancement of technologies like DNA fingerprinting and RNA sequencing, the butanol producing capability of some other bacteria from *Clostridium* genus were also unveiled. These bacteria are *C. beijerinckii, C. saccharoperbutylacetonicum* and *C. saccharobutylicum*. However the bacterial strain selection is not absolutely random rather is based upon certain factors such as type of biomass, productivity target, nutrient requirement, product toxicity tolerance, and resistance from bacteriophage [3]. The

capabilities in terms of product toxicity tolerance and yield of these microbes have been enhanced by mutagenesis. Mutagenesis can be brought by physical as well as chemical means like exposure to radiations, acclimatization of microbes with certain chemicals respectively. UV exposure, butanol, N-methyl-N-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine (MNNG) and ethyl methane sulphonate are some of the notable mutagens for enhancing the capabilities of *Clostridium sp.* [8], [9]. Table V enlists mutagenesis process implemented upon microbes and their results.

V. ABE FERMENTATION PROCESS

In the fermentation industry ABE fermentation holds the distinction of being second largest process next to ethanol fermentation. However in ABE fermentation process, instead of just one, three different products are produced which makes it more attractive as compared to others in terms of different product yield. Like other fermentation processes, ABE fermentation is also distinguished in to 3 different types namely batch, fed-batch and continuous mode of fermentation process. Again in continuous mode of fermentation, three different strategies namely free cell, immobilized cell, and cell recycles are also employed [3].

Metabolic activities of microbes play the decisive role in the fermentation process. ABE fermentation process is biphasic in nature containing both acidogenesis and solventogenesis phases. Fig. 2 represents metabolic pathway of ABE fermentation process and its components i.e. enzymes and products. The metabolic pathways in some of the *Clostridium sp.* are all alike such as those of *C. acetobutylicum* and *C. beijerinckii* are alike. Butanol, acetone, ethanol, acetic acid, butyric acid, CO₂, and H₂ are the end products from metabolic pathway of *Clostridium sp.* during ABE fermentation process [10].

VI. RECOVERY OF BIOBUTANOL FROM FERMENTATION BROTH

This is the most expensive part of biobutanol fermentation process, hence is a decisive factor for production. Several recovery techniques are in action today starting from age old distillation technology, adsorption, liquid-liquid extraction, gas stripping and membrane based methods like reverse osmosis, perstraction, pervaporation, etc. Amidst the existence of all these techniques, still there is no clear answer about which technique is the best [4], [11]. A brief summary of above techniques is portrayed in Table VI.

TABLE VI: BIOBUTA	JOL RECOVERY TECH	INIQUES [4], [12]

Method	Basic Principle	Major advantages	Major drawbacks
Gas stripping	Gas purging	Simple, less clogging	Incomplete removal
Liquid-liquid extraction	Organic solvent contact	High capacity	Expensive
Membrane evaporation	Selective diffusion	Smaller membrane need	Clogging occurs
Perstraction	Membrane and solvent	High selectivity	Large membrane area
Adsorption	Hydrophobic adsorbents	High uptake capacity	Desorption is tedious



The numbers depicts enzymes and are as follows: (1) process; Enzymes of glycolysis (2)Pyruvate ferredoxinoxidoreductase; (3) Acetaldehyde dehydrogenase; (4) Ethanol dehydrogenase; (5) Phosphate acetyltransferase (phosphotransacettylase); (6) Acetate kinase; (7) Thiolase (acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase); (8) 3-hydroxybutyryl-CoA dehydrogenase; (9) Acetoacetyl-CoA: acetate/butyrate: CoA-transferase; (10) Acetoacetate decarboxylase; (11) Crotonase; (12) Butyryl-CoA dehydrogenase; (13) Phosphate butyltransferase (phosphotransbutyrylase); (14) Butyrate kinase; (15) Butyraldehyde dehydrogenase; (16) Butanol dehydrogenase.

VII. CONCLUSION

Biobutanol, in recent years, is being considered as one of the most potent alternatives to conventional gasoline. Sustainable production of butanol using biomass as raw material has considerably lesser carbon foot print on the environment. Considering renewable nature of biomass, biobutanol is a lucrative biofuel which has all the properties of future alternative fuel. However, the upstream and downstream technologies like highly efficient microbial consortium and efficient recovery technologies, respectively, need to be improvised so as to make biobutanol a truly global fuel of the future.

NOMENCLATURE

ED= Energy density, A/F= Air to fuel ratio, HV= Heat of vaporization, ROT= Research octane testing, MOT= Motor octane testing, ABE= Acetone, butanol and ethanol, MJ/L= mega joules per liter, EJ= Exa Joule, LLE= Liquid-liquid

extraction, RO= Reverse osmosis, GEM= Genetically engineered microbes, BuOH= Butanol

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Manoj Kumar Mahapatra wishes to acknowledge his supervisor Prof. Arvind Kumar for his guidance during manuscript preparation. The authors acknowledge, ministry of HRD, govt. of India and Director, NIT, Rourkela, for providing financial support and assorted facilities needed for carrying out the research work.

REFERENCES

- K. Srirangan, L. Akawi, M. M. Young, and P. Chou, "Towards sustainable production of clean energy carriers from biomass resources," *Applied Energy*, vol. 100, pp. 172-186, May 2012.
- [2] P. Kumar, D. M. Barrett, M. J. Delwiche, and P. Stroeve, "Methods for pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass for efficient hydrolysis and biofuel production," *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, vol. 48, pp. 3713–3729, March 2009.
- [3] M. Kumar and K. Gayen, "Developments in biobutanol production: New insights," *Applied Energy*, vol. 88, pp. 1999–2012, January 2011.
- [4] J. Cheng, *Biomass to Renewable Energy Processes*, 1st ed. New York: CRC Press, 2010, ch. 8, p. 272.
- [5] D. T. Jones and D. R. Woods, "Acetone-butanol fermentation revisited," *Microbiology Review*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 484–524, December 1986.
- [6] H-J. Huang, S. Ramaswamy, and Y. Liu, "Separation and purification of biobutanol during bioconversion of biomass," *Separation and Purification Technology*, vol. 132, pp. 513–540, August 2014.
- [7] Y-S. Jang, A. Malaviya, C. Cho, J. Lee, and S. Lee, "Butanol production from renewable biomass by clostridia," *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 123, pp. 653–663, July 2012.
- [8] J. Zheng, Y. Tashiro, Q. Wang, and K. Sonomoto, "Recent advances to improve fermentative butanol production: Genetic engineering and fermentation technology," *Journal Bioscience and Bioengineering*, vol. 119, no. 1, pp. 1-9, July 2014.
- [9] Q. Syed, M. Nadeem, and R. Nelofer, "Enhanced butanol production by mutant strains of Clostridium acetobutylicum in molasses medium," *Turkish Journal of Biochemistry*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 25–30, April 2008.
- [10] Y. N. Zheng, L. Z. Li, M. Xian, Y. J. Ma, J. M. Yang, and X. Xu, "Problems with the microbial production of butanol," *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, vol. 36, pp. 1127–1138, September 2009.
- [11] N. Abdehagh, F. H. Tezel, and J. Thibault, "Separation techniques in butanol production: Challenges and developments," *Biomass and Bioenergy*, vol. 60, pp. 222-246, November 2014.
- [12] P. Durre, "New insights and novel developments in clostridial acetone/butanol/isopropanol fermentation," *Applied Microbiology* and Biotechnology, vol. 49, pp. 639-648, January 1998.



Arvind Kumar is currently serving as an assistant professor in the Department of Chemical Engineering, National Institute of Technology (NIT), Rourkela, India. He obtained his doctoral degree in chemical engineering from IIT Roorkee in 2008. Prior to his appointment at Rourkela, Dr. Arvind served at Carbon Technology Unit, National Physical Laboratory, New Delhi, India. His major interests are in industrial safety & pollution abatement, renewable energy and

petroleum refining process.



Manoj Kumar Mahapatra is a Ph.D. student at the Department of Chemical Engineering, National Institute of Technology, Rourkela, Odisha, India. His advisor is Prof. Arvind Kumar. He received his masters' degree in chemical engineering from the same institute. His research interests are renewable energy and environmental engineering.