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Abstract—The Centre Development Region of Romania could be considered an underdeveloped region in terms of local electricity production. In the region the local electricity generation is not able to supply the regional energy consumption, furthermore two of six counties have no electricity generation. On the periphery areas of region still existing 69 villages without electricity and 245 villages are partially electrified. The Rural Development Programme for Romania includes the rural electrification using renewable energy resources. However PV investments started to develop in the last years. This paper presents an assessment of the PV electricity generation potential and the already existing PV projects. Considering the geographical, climate, social and technical factors, the technical potential of PV electricity generation has been also estimated using different types of approaches. This paper presents an assessment of the PV electricity generation potential in CDR of Romania and estimates the existing opportunities for PV projects and clearly demonstrate the necessity of investment in solar PV projects.

Index Terms—Solar energy potential, PV electricity generation.

I. INTRODUCTION

After the Paris Climate Conference (COP21) climate change becomes one of the most important priority in the European agenda. The outcomes of this conference indicate that we are faced with an exponential growth in renewable energy production and the fundamental plans in this terms are expected in the coming years [1]. Parties referred to, inter alia, renewable energy targets, energy efficiency standards, grid modernization, financial schemes to promote clean investments, environmental taxes, subsidy reforms, programs for low CO₂ emission, [2]. In the COP21 the solar energy was highlighted as a clean energy system for electricity generation because of the low-carbon emission [3].

Despite all these facts the European Union will not achieve more than 27 % share of renewable energy consumption by 2030 [1], [4], which implies a very modest annual growth (1 to 1.5%) [5]. Romania has increased renewable energy share 6.1 % between 2004 and 2012, and on 29th December 2015 (12:52 pm) the peak performance shows almost 27.8 % (average rate 23%) of power from green sources, which is more than 27 % share of renewable energy consumption [5]. Romania has increased renewable energy share 6.1 % between 2004 and 2012, and on 29th December 2015 (12:52 pm) the peak performance shows almost 27.8 % (average rate 23%) of power from green sources, which is more than 27 % share of renewable energy consumption [6]. In this term the current Romanian renewable energy strategies need to be updated.

II. THE RESEARCH AREA

The Centre Development Region (CDR) of Romania is situated in the centre part of Romania (Fig. 1), within the arc of the Carpathian Mountains, on the upper and middle courses of Mureş and Olt [7]. The region has a relief of a plateau, with East-West oriented valleys. CDR is crossed by the meridian 25° East and latitude 46° North [8].

The region having 34 100 km² is nearly the same size as Belgium’s area, but in terms of the number of population has an average NUTs II. namely 2.36 million people (12.4% from Romanian’s population) [9]. The birth rate is low similar with the country’ one and there is a process of demographic aging. 60% of the population lives in urban areas – in the 57 towns of the region. Brașov (74.9%) and Sibiu (67.8%) counties have a higher degree of urbanisation while in Harghita county the rural population is dominant (55.7%) [7], [8]. From economic point of view, the low populated areas of the Apuseni Mountains from Alba County are the least developed. The most developed industrial areas are located in the southern part of the region (the cities of Brașov and Sibiu and their satellites) and are specialised in the automotive, machinery construction, chemical, textile and food industry [8].

Regarding solar surface radiation CDR is enrolled in the European B category of sunlight with 210 sunny days, depending on geographical area, and the annual energy flow is between 1200-1500 kWh/m²/year [10], [11]. Solar surface radiation (Globaltot) is defined as the sum of direct (Dirtot) and diffuse (Diflot) radiation. The map of solar surface radiation was elaborated using ArcGIS 10.1 program. (Fig. 2). The map of global insolation was drawn with ArcGIS 10.1 program, where are repeated for every location on the topographic surface, producing insolation maps for an entire geographic area (Fig. 2).

Between 2000 and 2014 the average electricity consumption per capita in CDR was 2220.53 kWh/year, which shows an increase of 8.48% [12]. The regional electric power generation (3 436 GWh/year) is able to supply only...
64.49% of electricity consumption (5,328 GWh/year) [7]. Furthermore, two (Covasna and Harghita) of six counties have no electricity production, in the other counties the lack of electricity production versus consumption is 73.67% in Brasov, 73.47% in Sibiu, 8.03% in Alba. On the other hand in Mureș county the electricity production is 78% higher than the local consumption, because here we find the country’s largest natural gas extraction and some gas power plant [13].

In CDR the main sources of electricity generation are natural gas and hydro energy [14], but the region is present in the renewable energy sector, particularly with the photovoltaic (PV) projects, which have started functioning since 2010. In the region the PV power plants grew the fastest compared to the other part of the country; in 2010 only 0.1 MW was installed, at the end of 2015 523.32 MW [15]. Between 2011 and 2013 the state supported the power producers with six green certificates (GC) for each delivered MWh (law 220/2008) and because of this, [16]-[18] the PV projects started to grow extremely (Fig. 3). However after January of 2014, when the number of GC has been reduced to half, (from 6 to 3.5 GC/MWh), many PV projects have been cancelled similar to other renewable energy projects, even if the electricity consumption in the region still continue kept to grow [19].

From 2010 the “Green House Programme” subsidizes with app. 1350 € residential solar and thermal systems and also a small proportion of biomass systems. In the region, starting from the summer of 2011 has been analysed and approved altogether 7915 Solar Home System (SHS) projects: 1053 in Alba county, 1314 in Brasov county, 942 in Covasna county, 2403 in Harghita county, 1055 Mureș county and 1148 projects in Sibiu county [20]. The governmental program became very popular, the goal was to offer financial support for a large number of beneficiaries. However it was stopped for a while, therefore the invested sum of the financial support reached only 15 million €. The beneficiaries are mostly from those rural areas where electricity or thermal energy supply is not possible from the national grid, or where this is not cost-effective or desirable. Therefore off-grid PV systems are common in remote areas which are still not supplied by an electrical grid.

The solar energy potential is estimated starting from the SRTM digital elevation model (DEM). At the first step this
TIN vector model with 25 m resolution is converted to raster DEM. The ArcGIS 10.1 program of ESRI has a separate module incorporated, namely Solar Radiation based on DEM, dedicated to calculate the solar radiation components on the surface. The solar radiation analysis tools calculate insolation across a landscape or for specific locations, based on methods from the hemispherical viewshed algorithm [21]. Next step is the setting the sequence of the days in the investigated year, in this case 2015. The following step is to specify the input parameters which refer to the atmospheric conditions. Here two values should be adjusted, namely the proportion of diffuse radiation and the transmissivity of the atmosphere (T). In this study the values of the proportion of diffuse radiation was taken from the PVGIS dataset [22]. The accuracy between the final modeled solar surface radiation values and observations shows an error of a couple of %. One possible factor which can introduce inaccuracies consists these parameters strongly linked to the weather situations [23], but not analyzed in this study. The theoretical potential of solar energy can be estimated using the expression 1 [24]:

$$ G = K \left( \frac{S}{S_a} \right)^{0.63} \sin h \cdot 0.19 $$  
(1)

where $G$ is the amount of monthly average daily global radiation on a horizontal surface (kW/m$^2$/day), $S$ is the monthly average daily number of hours of bright sunshine, $S_a$ is the monthly average daily maximum number of hours of possible sunshine and $h$ is the noon solar altitude on 15th of the month. $K$ is a zone parameter that depends on the climate.

As we see in Fig. 5, the PV potential of local administrative potential of surface. The potential of surface ($P_{S,i}$) for CGC PV applications. Since the CGC PV power stations are mostly installed on land surface with different characteristics, we need to assess the potential of surface. The potential of surface ($P_{S,i}$) of electricity generation through solar PV systems (kWh/yr) can be estimated using the equation 2 [25]:

$$ P_{S,i} = I_{y,i} \cdot A_{S,i} \cdot 365 $$  
(2)

where $I_{y,i}$ (kWh/m$^2$/year) is the average of solar surface radiation in the given area; $A_{S,i}$ (m$^2$) is the area suitable for installation of PV systems, $i$ is the area type, and 365 are the number of days in year. To estimate the area ($A_{S,i}$) suitable for PV system installations, B. Sorensen introduced a new suitability factor ($f_i$). This factor is the fraction of the area ($A_i$), available for installing the PV power plants. This area in area type $i$ can be estimated using the equation 3 [25], [26]:

$$ A_{S,i} = f_i \cdot A $$  
(3)

where $A_{S,i}$ (ha) is the suitable area for PV system installations, $f_i$ is the suitability factor in area type $i$. $A$ (ha) is the given area in the researched region.

From policy-related aspect the preservation of agricultural and forest areas is highlighted, but the degraded lands such as those affected by medium to high saline concentration, erosion, contaminated by heavy metals, could be an interesting option for the installation of new PV system. The suitability factors for different land use types [26] used in this study are shown in Table 1. The suitable area for CGC PV investments in CDR is 22853.23 ha, which is about 0.70 % of the total area of region [27]. The total amount of annual irradiance on this surface is estimated about 297 ×10$^3$ GWh energy.

### TABLE 1: ASSUMED SUITABILITY FACTORS AND TOTAL SUITABLE AREA FOR CGC PV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land use Type</th>
<th>Land-use suitability factor ($f_i$) [26]</th>
<th>Area per land-use type ($A_{S,i}$) (ha) [27]</th>
<th>Land-use area as percentage of total area (%) [27]</th>
<th>Suitable area for CGC PV ($A_{S,CGC}$) (ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban areas</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59106</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forests and bioreserve</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1246673</td>
<td>57.55</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>750930</td>
<td>35.55</td>
<td>2709.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>480809</td>
<td>22.72</td>
<td>1080.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pastureland</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>645449</td>
<td>30.93</td>
<td>1994.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wasteland</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>81589</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>4079.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>3264746</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>22853.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2) Off-grid solar PV systems

Off-grid solar PV systems or SHS have many applications opportunity in household energy supply: water pumping, operation of kitchen equipments, heating, etc. It is assumed that the decentralized PV applications are to be installed at roof-tops. The estimation of the potential of off-grid PV system is, therefore, practically the search for households in „roof reach area”, namely in rural villages. Moreover in CDR areas like this can be found in Munții Apuseni, Munții Călimani, Munții Giurgeului, Munții Întorsurii, Munții Cindrel, Munții Baraolt, Munții Bodoc, Munții Persani, Munții Bârsei where in 245 villages a part of households are not connected to the national grid [7], [8].

Considering 2.36 million as the total population of CDR and 2.66 person for an average household, the total number of rural households (RHH) in region is 337726 (Alba county 49750, 49419 in Brașov county, 38457 in Covasna county, 64572 in Harghita county, 92528 in Mureș county and 43000 in Sibiu county) [9]. Taking into account that in the case of the CDR 3.3% of the households have no access to electricity, thus the number of RHH without access to electricity would be about 27413 households [28]. For these households, the supply of electricity using PV system would be highly recommended.

Assuming that each household without electricity would be equipped with HIP-200 BA solar panels, suitable for supplying the electricity needs for an average household. The technical potential of the off-grid PV system can be estimated using the equation 4:

$$ EPP = RHH_{noel} \cdot GRS_{sel} \cdot CUF \cdot 365 $$  
(4)

where $EPP$ (kWh/year) is the annual electricity production potential of the equipment, $RHH_{noel}$ is the number of rural households registered at last census (2011), $GRS_{sel}$ is the surface solar radiation in the given settlement, $CUF$ is the
capacity utilization factor, which is decided by the solar insolation characteristics at the site; since CDR is located in a good solar insolation belt, CUF for solar PV applications is considered 20%, and 365 is the number of days in a year. Using the equation 4, the annual technical potential of off-grid PV systems in rural households is 89 705 MWh/year. This value in case of different counties is: 13111 MWh/year in Alba county, 14 400 MWh/year in Brașov county, 9521 MWh/year in Covasna county, 14683 MWh/year in Harghita county, 26759 MWh/year in Mureș county, and 11321 MWh/year in Sibiu county. This value represents only 1.71% of the current electricity consumption.

Other studies [25], [29] have assessed the roof-top area per capita for the use of PV applications. The IEA studies focuses on various case studies, and includes orientation and morphological aspects concerning the architecture of the buildings. Other study [30] estimates the roof area from the ground floor area of buildings per capita. Hoogwijk propose [31] to relate the available area (roof-top) to income, namely to the GDP per capita. The roof-top area per capita (\( R_{i,c} \)) (m² cap⁻¹) in grid cell \( i \) as a function of the GDP per capita is expressed as follows 5 [31]:

\[
R_{i,c} = GDP_i \cdot 0.06
\]

The suitable area for roof-top PV applications in CDR is estimated 100.69 km² as shown in Table II, which represents 0.29 % of the total area of region. The total amount of annual solar surface radiation on the studied region is estimated 130.9×10³ GWh/year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>GDP per capita (€)</th>
<th>Roof-top area per capita (m²)</th>
<th>Population (2011)</th>
<th>Suitable area for SHS (Million m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alba</td>
<td>7609</td>
<td>45.654</td>
<td>342400</td>
<td>15.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brașov</td>
<td>9313</td>
<td>55.878</td>
<td>549200</td>
<td>30.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covasna</td>
<td>5305</td>
<td>31.83</td>
<td>210200</td>
<td>6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harghita</td>
<td>4907</td>
<td>29.442</td>
<td>310900</td>
<td>9.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mureș</td>
<td>5962</td>
<td>35.772</td>
<td>550800</td>
<td>19.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibiu</td>
<td>7898</td>
<td>47.388</td>
<td>397300</td>
<td>18.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 100.69

Finally, the technical potential of PV electricity generation in CDR can be calculated using expression 6 [27]:

\[
TP = \Sigma GP \cdot \eta_m \cdot pr
\]

where \( \eta_m \) is the PV module’s conversation efficiency, it is defined as the ratio of energy output from the solar cell to input energy from the sun. In the last 10 years, the efficiency of common commercial wafer-based silicon modules increased from about 12 % to 16 % [32]. The performance ratio \( pr \) is stated in % and describes the relationship between the actual and theoretical energy outputs of the PV plant. Thus it shows the proportion of the energy that is actually available for export to the grid after deduction of energy losses (e.g. due to thermal losses and conduction losses) and of energy consumption for operation [33]. The results of technical potential of off-grid solar PV systems in CGC and are presented in Table III. The sum of estimated technical PV potential in CDR for on-grid and off-grid applications is 48.13 TWh/year.

### IV. Mitigation of Carbon Emission in CDR, Using Solar Energy Systems

The impact of the conventional energy technologies (coal power plan, oil-fired power station) on the climate can be characterised by their carbon emission intensity, a measure of the amount of CO₂ or CO₂: equivalent emitted per unit of energy generated. The fossil fuel technologies result high carbon emissions through the combustion of carbon rich fuels, while in the case of renewable technologies such as solar technology little or no emissions will occur during functioning (electricity-or thermal power generation). Emissions are present only during their manufacture. Thus solar energy technologies can play a role to mitigate carbon emissions by replacing the carbon intensive sources of heat and power. The amount of emissions mitigated depends on the amount of conventional heat or power that is displaced, the carbon intensity of the displaced energy sources, and the amount and type of energy that is consumed in manufacturing, installing and operating of the solar energy system [34]. In the period of 2000 - 2014 the heat power capacity (utilization of coal and natural gas) was reduced from 2 205 492 Gcal/year to 303 417 Gcal/year in the studied region. One small part of this is due the switch to biomass energy, however 86.3 % still means the shrinkage of heat power stations capacity [35]. As mentioned above the annual technical potential capacity for off-grid solar energy of households is 14.72 TWh/year. According to [35] the CO₂ emission per kWh of energy production in Romania is 413.44 gram. If this amount of energy would be produced by off-grid solar systems we could save 6 085 861.82 ton CO₂ (1 265 691.84 toe) emitted into our environment [36]. Considering the annual technical potential for on-grid solar energy (Table III) which is 33.41 TWh/year, by producing this amount of electricity using on-grid PV systems by we could save 13 813 087 ton CO₂ emission, which is equivalent with 2 872 742 toe [36].

However, the replacement of carbon-based electricity production with solar technology depends not only on the technology, but also on the way of where and how it is produced. The mitigation of CO₂ emission due PV systems could be greater when the peak capacity is achieved [34].

### V. Conclusion

This paper discusses the solar energy potential in Centre Development Region of Romania. The need to reduce the dependence from the national electricity supply is particular highlighted for mountain area of Alba county, but also for Covasna and Harghita county, where the local electricity production is 0 kWh/year. As Fig. 2 shows the investors are ready to invest in this sector, but they are still depending on the state subsidies. The detailed estimation and assessment of...
solar energy potential clearly demonstrates that solar PV systems have a great potential to face the country’s electricity needs in the future.

From the other hand the installed on-grid PV power stations are not adapted to local solar potentials, and they are not environmental sensitive, rather satisfy the legitimate expectations to receive the GCs. The current legislation obliges all renewable energy producers to introduce the energy in the national grid, and only the juridical persons could connect to the national grid, thus the local citizens are not directly profiting from the exploitation of renewable resources. In this case in the region, but also on national level, can observe a growth of solar energy use, but it is reserved from investors, thus no have local/regional development impact.

In these circumstances, the local producers will have practically no relation with produced energy and should not benefit from it in terms of cheaper energy prices, energy self-sufficiency or decentralized energy supply. This reality raises concerns of the future level of acceptance and support for such projects from citizens and local authorities because of a missing sense of ownership.
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