II. MATERIALS, METHODS AND SYSTEM DESIGN

Concrete is widely utilized as the SHS material due to its low cost, high heat capacity, good availability, and ease of handling of the material. The availability of the concrete system include the low cost of the thermal storage capacity per unit volume, and its conductivity. The economics of high temperature heat storage demands sensible heat storage material which is inexpensive [1]. Solid materials such as cast steel, stone, rock, sand, concrete and ceramic have usually been selected as sensible heat storage media depending on the required temperature range and specific application. The TES system using concrete as the sensible heat storage media is usually implemented by embedding the pipes heat exchanger in concrete to transfer thermal energy to or from the heat transfer fluid, air, and synthetic oil. The advantages of using a concrete system include the low cost of the thermal storage media, the high heat transfer rates into and out of concrete, the ease of handling of the material, the availability of the material, and uncomplicated processing. Concrete systems provide all of these advantages and are therefore an attractive option for use in parabolic trough power plant. As well, they are relatively maintenance free. Khare et al. [3] studied candidate materials for high temperature sensible heat storage (SHS) systems. The main difficulty in using solid media for SHS is the large size requirement of the storage bed. However, this can be minimized by using high heat capacity storage materials and allowing high temperature swing. Tamme et al. [4] suggested castable ceramic and concrete as sensible heat storage mediums for high temperature heat storage applications. Laing et al. [5] investigated ceramic and high temperature concrete for maximum storage temperatures up to 663 °K with storage capacity of 350 kW. He concluded that concrete was the more preferable storage material although ceramic has 20% higher storage capacity and 35% greater conductivity. Nandi et al. [6] reported concrete and castable ceramic as low cost (25-30 $ kWh) and durable SHS systems. It has been observed that heating the concrete at elevated temperatures causes certain reactions and transformations to occur due to the presence of voids which influence their thermo-physical properties. The compressive strength decreases by about 20% on heating the concrete to 673 K [1]. However, such problems can be minimized by the addition of filler materials such as steel needles and reinforcement to improve the mechanical and thermal strength of the material. John et al. [7] found that after exposure to 10 thermal cycles with temperature increases from ambient temperature to 723 K, the concrete bed maintained more than 50% of its mechanical properties. It can be seen from the previous work that many researchers emphasized the use of high temperature concrete as a SHS material. However, there is a lack of...
research on solid SHS systems based on using water/steam as the heat transfer fluid. The present work seeks to remedy this perceived lack by investigating the thermal storage performance of solid state SHS systems, using local concrete material, in Thailand, under the various climatic conditions to be found in Thailand.

II. THEORY OF THERMAL ENERGY STORAGE PERFORMANCE

The overall storage process of the thermal energy storage process is shown in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. The three stage in a simple heat storage; charging period (a), Storage period (b), and discharging period (c) [8].](image)

A. Storage Equation

The storage system is the sensible heat in solid storage medium. An energy balance for the overall storage process can be written as [8]

\[
\text{Energy Input} - (\text{Energy Recovered} + \text{Energy Loss}) = \text{Energy Accumulation} \quad (1)
\]

or

\[
m(h_a - h_b) - [m(h_c - h_d) + Q] = \Delta E \quad (1a)
\]

where \(h_a, h_b, h_c, \text{ and } h_d\) are the total enthalpies of the flows at states a, b, c, and d, respectively, and \(Q\) denotes the heat loss during the process and \(\Delta E\) is the accumulation of energy in the TES. \((h_a - h_b)\) represents the net heat delivered to the TES and \((h_c - h_d)\) the net heat recovered from the TES.

B. Charging Period

Charging time is the time taken for the stored bed’s volume average temperature to reach a specified rise in temperature \(\Delta T\). An energy balance for the charging period can be written as follows [8]:

\[
\text{Energy Input} - \text{Energy Loss} = \text{Energy Accumulation} \quad (2)
\]

or

\[
m(h_a - h_b) - Q_{1,1} = \Delta E_1 \quad (2a)
\]

\[
\Delta E_1 = E_{f,1} - E_{i,1} \quad (3)
\]

\(E_{i,1}\) and \(E_{f,1}\) denote the initial and final energy of the TES for the charging period and \(Q_{1,1}\) denotes the heat loss during the period. \(h_a\) and \(h_b\) denote the enthalpy of state a and b.

C. Discharging Period

Discharging time is the time taken for the storage bed to attain a volume average temperature of \(T_{inlet}\). An energy balance for the discharging period can be written as [8]

\[-(\text{Energy Input} + \text{Energy Loss}) = \text{Energy Accumulation} \quad (4)\]

\[-[m(h_a - h_c) + Q_{1,3}] = \Delta E_3 \quad (4a)\]

\[\Delta E_3 = E_{f,3} - E_{i,3} \quad (5)\]

\(E_{i,3}(= E_{f,2})\) and \(E_{f,3}\) denote the initial and final energies of the storage for the discharging period. The quantity in square brackets represents the energy output during discharging.

D. Energy efficiency

Energy efficiency is the ratio of energy recovered from the thermal energy storage during discharging to the total energy input during charging. The energy efficiency \((\eta)\) can be defined as [8]:

\[
\eta = \frac{\text{Energy recovered from TES during discharging}}{\text{Energy input to TES during charging}} \quad (6)
\]

or

\[
\eta = \frac{h_f - h_i}{h_d - h_b} \quad (6a)
\]

III. EXPERIMENTAL PROCESS

A. Experimental Instruments

The concrete storage prototype was composed of pipes embedded in a concrete storage block. The embedded pipes are used for transporting and distributing the heat transfer medium while sustaining the pressure. The concrete storage stores the thermal energy as sensible heat. A special interface material was installed to reduce the friction between the concrete and the pipes due to the mismatch of thermal expansion.

The heat exchanger was composed of 16 pipes of high-temperature steel with the inner diameter of 12 mm and wall thickness of 7 mm. They were distributed in a square arrangement of 4 by 4 pipes with a separation of 82 mm. The storage prototype had the dimensions of 0.5 × 0.5 × 4 m.

In order to record data for energy balances, the piping system was equipped with numerous of sensors. The mass flow as well as the water/steam temperature and pressure were measured. The prototype was equipped with 56 thermocouples distributed within the storage material, on the embedded pipes and the header pipe. After the installation of additional reinforcement and measuring equipment, a formwork was installed and the storage space was filled with the thermal storage concrete. The storage prototype was then covered by insulation on all sides and top and bottom (see Fig. 2-Fig. 3).

![Fig. 2. Schematic of thermal storage.](image)
TABLE I: THERMOPHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF STORAGE PROTOTYPE FROM THE LABORATORY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density of the concrete</td>
<td>1820</td>
<td>kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat capacity of the concrete</td>
<td>1538</td>
<td>J/kg·K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity of the concrete</td>
<td>1.0352</td>
<td>W/m·K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient thermal expansion of the concrete</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td>10⁶/K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient thermal expansion of the tubes</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>10⁶/K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of the HTF</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat capacity of the HTF</td>
<td>2556</td>
<td>J/kg·K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity of the HTF</td>
<td>36.61×10⁻³</td>
<td>W/m·K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Experimental Procedure

The experimental investigation was carried out at the Energy Park of the School of Renewable Energy Technology (SERT) at Naresuan University, Phitsanulok, Thailand. As the startup procedure, prior to the experimental processes proper, most of the water contained in the concrete was expelled by heating the concrete storage prototype from ambient temperature to 180°C. During the process the water evaporates and there is a buildup of vapor pressure within the concrete. During this process there was a buildup of vapor pressure within the concrete which needed to be carefully monitored to avoid damage to the concrete.

The subsequent operating conditions of the concrete storage prototype were:
- Heat transfer fluid (water/steam)
- Maximum internal pressure (10 bar)
- Maximum temperature: up to 180 °C
- Test temperature range between 110-180 °C
- Mass flow rate: 0.009, 0.012 and 0.014 kg/s

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Charging Time

Having completed the startup procedure, the first charging experiment was commenced. As shown in Fig. 4, the HTF inlet temperature and the storage prototype temperature were plotted for comparison of the HTF temperature and the average temperature of the storage prototype during this first charging experiment. During the tests, HTF inlet mass flow rates were 0.009, 0.012 and 0.014 kg/s. The HTF inlet temperature to the storage prototype was manually increased very quickly to about 180 °C and then maintained at an almost constant level at about 180 °C for most of the charging process. Also, as shown in Fig. 4, the storage prototype temperature slightly increased. This is shown at the different flow rates in Fig. 4. Initially the volume average temperature of the storage bed rose rapidly and then rose slowly over the subsequent time up to 180 minutes. This is due to the initial potential for heat conduction in the concrete. The heat conduction potential decreases with time as the storage bed gains heat of the HTF.

B. Energy Input

The thermal energy rates at the storage bed of the storage prototype were shown in Fig. 5. The amount of thermal energy input in the storage materials at their respective charging times was calculated using Equation (2). The flow rate of 0.012 kg/s resulted in the fastest heat transfer from the pipe to the concrete, followed by the flow rate of 0.009 kg/s with 0.014 kg/s the lowest heat transfer value. The energy input at the various flow rates were 0.009 kg/s, 31,119 MJ, 0.012 kg/s, 26,891 MJ and 0.014 kg/s, 16,840 MJ.

C. Radiant Thermal Distribution on Charging Time

The radiant thermal distribution for thermal energy storage over charging time is shown in Fig. 6(a)-Fig. 6(c). Increasing the HTF flow rate increases the overall heat transfer coefficient enabling faster exchange of heat which reduces the...
charging time. At higher HTF flow rates the time required to achieve a certain temperature decreased. At the HTF flow rate of 0.014 kg/s the temperature increase over time was greatest, followed by the flow rate of 0.012 kg/s with the flow rate of 0.009 kg/s being the slowest.

Fig. 6(a)-Fig. 6(c) show the comparisons of thermal distribution of temperature by thermal radiation for the three flow rates through the 1 cm, 2 cm and 3 cm from HTF pipe respectively.

**D. Discharging Time**

During the discharge process, various thermocouples were fixed inside the storage material in order to measure the temperature distribution. The average temperature of the storage material inside the thermal storage prototype decreased over time (Fig. 7).

![Fig. 6. Radial thermal distribution and flow rate on charging time of storage bed.](image)

Fig. 7. Temperature of concrete storage prototype in discharging period at 0.009, 0.012 and 0.014 kg/s.

**E. Energy Recovered**

The thermal energy recovery rates of the storage bed are shown in Fig. 8. The amount of thermal energy recovered was calculated using Equation (4). The calculations showed that at the flow rate of 0.014 kg/s the heat transfer from the concrete into the pipe was faster than at the flow rates of 0.012 and 0.009 kg/s. The energy recovered at each flow rate was, at 0.014 kg/s, 18,796 MJ, at 0.012 kg/s, 14,363 MJ and at 0.009 kg/s, 12,173 MJ.

![Fig. 8. Energy recovered during discharging period at 0.009, 0.012 and 0.014 kg/s.](image)

**F. Radial Thermal Distribution on Discharging Time**

Heat discharge of the charged storage bed was initiated by passing HTF at a lower temperature ($T_{\text{inlet}}$); The HTF receives the heat from the charged storage bed which decreases the storage bed temperature and also causes a rise in the HTF temperature along the bed. The radiant thermal distribution for thermal energy storage on discharging time is shown in Fig. 9(a)-Fig. 9(c), which show comparison of the thermal distribution of temperature of thermal radiation for the 1 cm, 2 cm and 3 cm HTF pipes. It can be seen that the decrease in discharging time of the storage bed with HTF at the flow rate of 0.014 kg/s was faster than that of 0.012 kg/s with 0.009 kg/s being the lowest value.
The energy efficiency of the storage bed was extracted at a temperature lower than that at which it was previously stored. The energy efficiency of the storage prototype is presented. For the charging/discharging experiment, it was found that the increase or decrease in storage temperature depends on the HTF temperature, flow rates, and initial temperature.

For 135 minutes of operation, the energy efficiency was 52% at the flow rate of 0.012 kg/s while the flow rate of 0.014 gave 47% energy efficiency. Meanwhile the flow rate of 0.009 kg/s gave 37% for 150 minutes operational time.

### G. Energy Efficiency

The energy was degraded in the process of storage since it was extracted at a temperature lower than that at which it was previously stored. The energy efficiency of the storage bed was evaluated using Equation 6. Fig. 10 shows the energy efficiency of the thermal energy storage prototype. The flow rate of 0.012 kg/s dramatically increased in the first 45 minutes after which time it increased gradually while the efficiency at the flow rate of 0.014 kg/s increased sharply and at the flow rate of 0.009 kg/s increased slightly, while seeming to stabilize at a later time.

For 135 minutes of operation, the energy efficiency was 52% at the flow rate of 0.012 kg/s while the flow rate of 0.014 gave 47% energy efficiency. The flow rate of 0.009 kg/s gave 37% for 150 minutes operational time.

### V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the performance analysis of a thermal energy storage prototype is presented. For the charging/discharging experiment, it was found that the increase or decrease in storage temperature depends on the HTF temperature, flow rates, and initial temperature.

For 135 minutes of operation, the energy efficiency was 52% at the flow rate of 0.012 kg/s while the flow rate of 0.014 gave 47% energy efficiency. Meanwhile the flow rate of 0.009 kg/s gave 37% for 150 minutes operational time.

The results from this research can be a guideline for thermal storage system design for Commercial Solar Thermal Power Plant in Thailand.
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